metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Jan Janczak^{a,b}* and Ynara Marina Idemori^b

^aInstitute of Low Temperature and Structure Research, Polish Academy of Sciences, PO Box 1410, 50-950 Wrocław, Poland, and ^bDepartamento de Química, Instituto de Ciências Exatas, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, CEP 31270-901 Belo Horizonte -MG, Brazil

Correspondence e-mail: jjanek@dedalus.lcc.ufmg.br

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 295 KMean σ (C–C) = 0.005 Å R factor = 0.048 wR factor = 0.041 Data-to-parameter ratio = 18.9

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

[Phthalocyaninato(2-)]arsenic(III) triiodide

A new arsenic(III) phthalocyanine complex with the formula $[As(C_{32}H_{16}N_8)]I_3$, or $AsPcI_3$ (Pc = $C_{32}H_{16}N_8$), has been obtained by the reaction of pure powdered arsenic with phthalonitrile under a stream of iodine vapor. The four-coordinate As atom is bonded to the four isoindole N atoms of the phthalocyaninate(2–) macro-ring and lies significantly out of the plane defined by the four isoindole N atoms [0.757 (2) Å]. The I atoms form linear asymmetric triiodide I_3^- ions. In the crystal, the I_3^- ions related by an inversion center form $(I-I-I\cdots I-I-I)^{2-}$ ions.

Comment

The crystal of the title compound, (I), is built up from separate but interacting units of $(AsPc)^+$ and I_3^- (Fig. 1). The arsenic phthalocyaninate unit is not planar, since in the crystal it interacts with the oppositely charged I_3^- ion. This interaction leads to the deviation of the arsenic cation from the phthalocyaninate(2–) macro-ring towards the I_3^- ion. The deviation of the arsenic cation from the weighted least-squares plane through the four isoindole N atoms is 0.757 (2) Å. For comparison, the deviation of the Sb³⁺ cation from the N₄isoindole plane in isostructural SbPcI₃ is 0.966 (2) Å (Kubiak *et al.*, 1999). The difference between the displacements of the central metal cations (As³⁺ and Sb³⁺) correlates well with the difference between their ionic radii (Shannon, 1976).



The interaction of the arsenic cation with the I_3^- ion leads to the deformation of the phthalocyaninate macro-ring into a saucer-shaped form. The influence of the As···I interactions is manifested in the As–N(isoindole) coordination. The four As–N(isoindole) bonds are more asymmetrical and a little longer (0.03–0.06 Å) than the Sb–N(isoindole) bonds in SbPcI₃ (Kubiak *et al.*, 1999). Thus, the molecular symmetry of the As–N core is close to C_s and not to $C_{4\nu}$, which is possible for saucer-shaped M^{II} Pc complexes (Friedel *et al.*, 1970; Ukei,

C 2002 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

Janczak and Idemori • [As(C₃₂H₁₆N₈)]I₃

m36

Received 7 December 2001 Accepted 12 December 2001

Online 22 December 2001





The molecular structure of (I) showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids. H atoms are shown as spheres of arbitrary radii.

1973; Iyechika *et al.*, 1982). The largest deviation from the N₄isoindole plane is observed for the outermost C atoms of the phenyl rings C18–C23 and C27–C31, as a result of the repulsive interaction of the delocalized π -electron system with the negatively charged I₃⁻ ion, which in the crystal is located close to the half of the Pc unit containing these two phenyl rings, not directly over the As atom of the AsPc cation.

As two of the three I atoms of the I_3^- ion in the crystal of AsPcI₃ interact with the oppositely charged central arsenic cation of the $(AsPc)^+$ unit, the I_3^- ion is asymmetric. One of the terminal I atoms (I3) of the I_3^- ion interacts more strongly than the central iodine (I1). This interaction correlates well with the charge on the I atoms (-0.419 on both terminal I)atoms and -0.163 on the central I atom) calculated by Landrum et al. (1997). The As. .. I3 (terminal) distance is ~0.1 Å shorter than the distance of As···I1 (I1 central). The interaction (repulsive force) between two triiodide ions related by an inversion center (with an I2···I2 distance of 3.72 Å) and the As \cdots I interactions (attractive force) present in the crystal are responsible for the difference of the bond lengths within the I_3^- ions and are important for stacking interactions between the ring systems. The basic packing unit includes two $(AsPc)^+$ macrocycles and two interacting I_3^- ions, but each of those two macrocycles is closely associated with another macrocycle across an inversion center. The molecules related by a screw axis and glide plane are approximately perpendicular to each other (Fig. 2). A search of the Cambridge Structural Database (Allen & Kennard, 1993) for structures containing both $(I-I-I \cdots I-I-I)^{2-}$ and MN_4 groups showed only one example with $(I-I-I \cdots I-I-I)^{2^{-}}$, but no comment was made on the $I_3 \cdots I_3$ interaction (Kubiak et al., 1999). The I–I bond lengths in the AsPcI₃ complex are just slightly (0.02 Å), more nearly equal than those observed in the SbPcI₃ complex [2.861 (2) and 2.999 (2) Å; Kubiak et al., 1999]. A similar asymmetric triiodide I_3 ion is present in the crystal of oxomolybdenum(V) phthalocyanine triiodide, but





The molecular packing in the unit cell showing the As···I and $I_3^-···I_3^-$ interactions (dashed lines).

the I–I distances are significantly larger [2.9737 (7) and 3.1934 (7) Å] than in the present As complex (Janczak & Kubiak, 1999).

Experimental

Crystals of AsPcI₃ were obtained by direct reaction of pure powdered arsenic with phthalonitrile (Kubiak & Janczak, 1993) under a stream of iodine vapor at about 493 K. At this temperature, the liquid phthalonitrile undergoes catalytic tetramerization with simultaneous transfer of two electrons from the As metal to form the Pc ring; the third electron from As is transferred to the I atoms forming an I_3^- ion.

Crystal data

$As(C_{32}H_{16}N_8)]I_3$	$D_m = 2.16 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 968.15$	D_m measured by flotation
Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$a = 8.652 (2) \text{ Å}_{1}$	Cell parameters from 2585
b = 17.558 (4) Å	reflections
c = 19.668 (4) Å	$\theta = 5-26^{\circ}$
$\beta = 95.42 \ (3)^{\circ}$	$\mu = 4.30 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$V = 2974.4 (11) \text{ Å}^3$	T = 295 (2) K
Z = 4	Parallelepiped, violet
$D_x = 2.162 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	$0.30\times0.12\times0.10~\text{mm}$

Data collection

Kuma KM-4 diffractometer with CCD area detector ω scans Absorption correction: analytical face-indexed (*SHELXTL*; Sheldrick, 1990) $T_{min} = 0.359, T_{max} = 0.673$ 26611 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.048$ $wR(F^2) = 0.042$ S = 0.997507 reflections 397 parameters 7507 independent reflections 4287 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.039$ $\theta_{max} = 29.0^{\circ}$ $h = -8 \rightarrow 11$ $k = -23 \rightarrow 23$ $l = -26 \rightarrow 26$

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{H-atom parameters constrained} \\ w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0071P)^2] \\ \mbox{where } P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3 \\ (\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001 \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm max} = 0.48 \mbox{ e } \mbox{\AA}^{-3} \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm min} = -0.46 \mbox{ e } \mbox{\AA}^{-3} \end{array}$

Table 1	
Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).	

2.071(3)
2 082 (2)
2.062 (3)
3.7813 (9)
3.6948 (9)
136.1 (1)
81.6 (1)
81.7 (1)

Data collection: *Kuma KM-4 CCD Software* (Kuma, 2000); cell refinement: *Kuma KM-4 CCD Software*; data reduction: *Kuma KM-4 CCD Software*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 1990); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

This research was supported by the CNPq Foundation.

References

Allen, F. H. & Kennard, O. (1993). Chem. Des. Autom. News, 8, 1, 31-37.

Friedel, M. K., Hoskins, B. M., Martin, R. L. & Mason, S. A. (1970). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 400–401.

Iyechika, Y., Yakushi, K., Ikemoto, I. & Kuroda, H. (1982). Acta Cryst. B38, 766–770.

Janczak, J. & Kubiak, R. (1999). Inorg. Chem. 38, 2429-2433.

Kubiak, R. & Janczak, J. (1993). J. Alloys Compd, 204, L7-8.

Kubiak, R., Janczak, J. & Razik, M. (1999). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 293, 155–159.Kuma (2000). Kuma KM-4 CCD Software. Version 1.63. Kuma Diffraction, Wrocław, Poland.

Landrum, R. D., Goldberg, N. & Hoffmann, R. (1997). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 3605–3613.

Shannon, R. D. (1976). Acta Cryst. A32, 751-767,

Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). SHELXTL. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Ukei, K. (1973). Acta Cryst. B29, 2290-2292.